## Application: Restoration - Dusy Ershim (FINAL)

3/1/2010

## **Restoration - Dusy Ershim (FINAL)**

FO	R OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP # 700547

### A. List of Restoration Activities

This 31 mile route traverses the boundary between the Dinkey Lakes Wilderness and the John Muir Wilderness and is the crown jewel of the Sierra OHV program. General activities for the Dusy Ershim restoration project include: the closure of several short sections of user-created alternative routes, the restoration of areas damaged by off-route OHV use, the protection of meadows, and the blocking of access to closed areas. NEPA documentation will take place prior to any ground disturbing activities.

## Describe how the proposed Project relates to OHV Recreation and how OHV Recreation caused the damage:

The High Sierra Ranger District will sustain long-term use of the roads and trails for OHV recreation. Off Highway Motorized Vehicle Recreation funds will enable us to have a sufficient staffing in the field to observe and react to changes in trail tread, vegetation and wildlife habitat conditions. Restoration along the Dusy Ershim OHV route will sustain the route for future use and enhance the experience of the user by providing a better defined route to follow.

### C. Describe the size of the specific Project Area(s) in acres and/or miles

Summer OHV on The High Sierra Ranger District, covering the south half of the Sierra National Forest, has a network of 90 miles of four wheel drive routes along with 539 miles of Level 2 roads, both offering challenges for the novice or expert OHV enthusiast. Of the 13 routes available, 11 have lakes either along the route or as destinations providing dispersed camping and day use opportunities. The Jose Basin and Blue Canyon areas provide great opportunities when the 90 miles of trails in the high country are closed due to snow.

### D. **Monitoring and Methodology**

OHV patrollers and volunteers will monitor and report any disturbance to restored areas. Law Enforcement Officers, Fire Patrols and other Forest Protection Officers frequent the OHV areas and monitor use on OHV trails. A successful outcome will be determined If project areas are allowed to rehabilitate over time.

### E. **List of Reports**

Forest Service resource specialists will write an assessment analysis per NEPA requirements.

### F. Goals, Objectives and Methodology / Peer Reviews

### G. Plan for Protection of Restored Area

The project area will be protected with signs and barriers where needed. Physical site visits by volunteers, OHV patrol and Law Enforcement Officers will ensure future protection of restored areas.

Version # Page: 1 of 11

# Additional Documentation for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010 3/1/2010 Applicant: USFS - Sierra National Forest Application: Restoration - Dusy Ershim (FINAL)

## **Additional Documentation**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Version # \_\_\_\_\_ APP # 700547

1. Project-Specific Maps

Attachments: Dusy Ershim Map

Forest Map

2. Project-Specific Photos

Version #

Attachments: Project Photos

Project Photos Project Photos

Page: 2 of 11

\_\_\_\_\_

## **Project Cost Estimate**

		FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #			APP #		
APPL	ICANT NAME :	USFS - Sierra National Forest						
PROJ	ECT TITLE :	Restoration - Dusy Ershim (FINAL)				PROJECT NUME (Division use on		2
PROJECT TYPE :		Acquisition	☐ Development		□ Edu	ication & Safety	☐ Ground Ope	erations
		Law Enforcement	Planning		Res	storation		
PROJ	ECT DESCRIPTION :	This 31 mile route traverses the bound program. General activities for the Dus restoration of areas damaged by off-ro place prior to any ground disturbing ac	y Ershim restoratio ute OHV use, the p	n project include: t	the closure	of several short sections	s of user-created alterna	ative routes, the
	Line Item		Qty	Rate	UOM	Grant Request	Match	Total
DIRE	CT EXPENSES							
Progr	am Expenses							
1	Staff							
	Recreation Planner		10.000	346.980	DAY	2,603.00	867.00	3,470.00
	Other-OHV Manager		30.000	226.950	DAY	5,107.00	1,702.00	6,809.00
	Other-Asst. OHV Mar	nager	30.000	198.180	DAY	4,122.00	1,823.00	5,945.00
	Other-GS-3 Recreation	on Technician	60.000	101.110	DAY	4,551.00	1,516.00	6,067.00
	Other-GS-4 Recreation	on Technician	60.000	113.510	DAY	5,109.00	1,702.00	6,811.00
	Other-Wildlife Biologis	st	10.000	344.000	DAY	2,580.00	860.00	3,440.00
	Other-Hydrologist		10.000	322.110	DAY	2,416.00	805.00	3,221.00
	Botanist		10.000	325.950	DAY	2,445.00	815.00	3,260.00
	Other-Aquatics Biolog	gist	10.000	326.570	DAY	2,450.00	816.00	3,266.00
	Archeologist		10.000	291.660	DAY	2,188.00	729.00	2,917.00

Page: 3 of 11 Version #

## Project Cost Estimate for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010 Agency: USFS - Sierra National Forest Application: Restoration - Dusy Ershim (FINAL)

	Line Item	Qty	Rate	UOM	Grant Request	Match	Total
	Total for Staff				33,571.00	11,635.00	45,206.00
2	Contracts						
3	Materials / Supplies						
	Route Markers	100.000	5.000	EA	375.00	125.00	500.00
	Rakes and Showels	25.000	20.000	EA	375.00	125.00	500.00
	Signs	50.000	25.000	EA	938.00	312.00	1,250.00
	Trash Bags	20.000	5.000	EA	75.00	25.00	100.00
	Total for Materials / Supplies				1,763.00	587.00	2,350.00
4	Equipment Use Expenses						
	Other-Vehicle Maintenance	2.000	539.000	MOS	765.00	313.00	1,078.00
5	Equipment Purchases						
6	Others						
	Other-Volunteers	500.000	20.000	HRS	0.00	10,000.00	10,000.00
7	Indirect Costs						
Total	Total Program Expenses				36,099.00	22,535.00	58,634.00
ТОТА	L DIRECT EXPENSES				36,099.00	22,535.00	58,634.00
TOTA	L EXPENDITURES				36,099.00	22,535.00	58,634.00

## Project Cost Summary for Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program - 2009/2010 Agency: USFS - Sierra National Forest Application: Restoration - Dusy Ershim (FINAL)

	Line Item	Grant Request	Match	Total	Narrative	
DIRI	RECT EXPENSES					
Prog	gram Expenses					
1	Staff	33,571.00	11,635.00	45,206.00		
2	Contracts	0.00	0.00	0.00		
3	Materials / Supplies	1,763.00	587.00	2,350.00		
4	Equipment Use Expenses	765.00	313.00	1,078.00		
5	Equipment Purchases	0.00	0.00	0.00		
6	Others	0.00	10,000.00	10,000.00		
7	Indirect Costs	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Total Program Expenses		36,099.00	22,535.00	58,634.00		
тот	AL DIRECT EXPENSES	36,099.00	22,535.00	58,634.00		
тот	AL EXPENDITURES	36,099.00	22,535.00	58,634.00		

## **Environmental Review Data Sheet (ERDS)**

	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Ve	ersion #	APP # 700547				
ı	ITEM 1 and ITEM 2						
	ITEM 1						
a.	ITEM 1 - Has a CEQA Notice of Determination (Please select Yes or No)	n (NOD) been file	ed for the Project?	C	Yes	•	No
	ITEM 2						
b.	Does the proposed Project include a request document preparation prior to implementing t a two-phased Project pursuant to Section 497	he remaining Pro	ject Deliverables (i.e., is it	•	Yes	C	No
ı	ITEM 3 - Project under CEQA Guidelines Se	ction 15378					
C.	ITEM 3 - Are the proposed activities a "Project (Please select Yes or No)	ct" under CEQA (	Guidelines Section 15378?	C	Yes	C	No
d.	The Application is requesting funds solely for and ensure public safety. These activities work environment and are thus not a "Project" under the control of	uld not cause any	physical impacts on the	C	Yes	С	No
e.	Other. Explain why proposed activities would a "Project" under CEQA. DO NOT complete		nysical impacts on the enviro	nm	ent and	are t	thus not
ı	ITEM 4 - Impact of this Project on Wetlands						
I	ITEM 5 - Cumulative Impacts of this Project						
ı	ITEM 6 - Soil Impacts						
ı	ITEM 7 - Damage to Scenic Resources						
ı	ITEM 8 - Hazardous Materials						
	Is the proposed Project Area located on a site Section 65962.5 of the California Government select Yes or No)	-	• •	C	Yes	С	No
	If YES, describe the location of the hazard relation to minimize or avoid the hazards.	ative to the Proje	ct site, the level of hazard a	nd 1	the meas	sure	s to be
ı	ITEM 9 - Potential for Adverse Impacts to Hi	storical or Cultu	ral Resources				
	Would the proposed Project have potential fo historical or cultural resources? (Please selections)	-	adverse impacts to	C	Yes	С	No
	Discuss the potential for the proposed Projec resources.	t to have any sub	stantial adverse impacts to l	nist	orical or	cultu	ural

\_\_\_\_\_

Version #

**ITEM 10 - Indirect Significant Impacts** 

Page: 7 of 11

**CEQA/NEPA Attachment** 

Version #

\_\_\_\_\_

┖ݖ	$\sim$	uati	<b>^</b>	/ ~ w :	+^	
гv		иан		1 1 2	16	111

Reference Document

	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Version #	APP # 700547
1.	Project Cost Estimate - Q 1. (Auto popul	lates from Cost E	stimate)
	As calculated on the Project Cost Estimate     Applicant is: 3		•
	one from list)	ne Cost Estimate a	nd Evaluation Criteria are Validated.) (Please select
	76% or more (10 points)		
	51% - 75% (5 points)		
	26% - 50% (3 points)		
	25% (Match minimum) (No points)		
2.	Natural and Cultural Resources - Q 2.		
	2. Natural and Cultural Resources - Failure	to fund the Project	will result in adverse impacts to: 9
	(Check all that apply) (Please select appl	licable values)	
	Domestic water supply (4 points)		
	Archeological and historical resource Federal Register of Historic Places (		California Register of Historical Resources or the
	Stream or other watercourse (3 poin	nts)	
	✓ Soils - Site actively eroding (2 points)	s)	
	Sensitive areas (e.g., wilderness, rip number of sensitive habitats [2]	oarian, wetlands, A	CEC) (2 point each, up to a maximum of 6) Enter
	☐ Threatened and Endangered (T&E) species	listed species (2 p	oint each, up to a maximum of 6) Enter number of T&E
	☐ Other special-status species- Number of special-status species	er of special-status	s species (1 point each, up to a maximum of 3) Enter
	Describe the type and severity of impacts	s that might occur	relative to the checked item(s):
	This route is 36 miles long with many cree	•	
	Yearly snow melt at 10,000' elevation cau		
	This route is located on a corridor between The route passes by several mountain lab		
3.	Reason for Project - Q 3.		
	3. Reason for the Project 4		
	(Check the one most appropriate) (Please	e select one from	ist)
	Protect special-status species or cul	Itural site (4 points	
	Restore natural resource system da	maged by OHV ac	tivity (4 points)
	OHV activity in a closed area (3 poir	nts)	
	C Alternative measures attempted, but	t failed (2 points)	
	Management decision (1 point)		
	Scientific and cultural studies (1 poi	int)	
	Planning efforts associated with Res	storation (1 point)	

Page: 8 of 11 Version #

Application: Restoration - Dusy Ershim (FINAL)

Restoration efforts to close off braided sections of trail where vehicles have created one or more alternative routes around existing tread. To restore those areas to their natural settings. Also to protect natural resources in wet areas and at water crossings. Forest Service Staff has documented resource damage from OHV use with photographs and trail reports.

A Moseuros to Enguro Success - (	<b>^</b>	A

	Measures to Ensure Success - Q 4.
4.	Measures to ensure success –The Project makes use of the following elements to ensure successful implementation 12
	(Check all that apply) Scoring: 2 points each (Please select applicable values)  ✓ Site monitoring to prevent additional damage  ✓ Construction of barriers and other traffic control devices  ✓ Use of native plants and materials  ✓ Incorporation of universally recognized 'Best Management Practices'  ✓ Educational signage  ✓ Identification of alternate OHV routes to ensure that OHV activities will not reoccur in restored area
	Explain each item checked above:
	While patrolling the Dusy Ershim route site visits will be conducted.  Where needed barriers will be placed to prevent OHV use on restored areas.  Native materials will be utilized in barrier creation and tread protection.  OHV trial maintenance will be carried out using current BMP's.  Signs to educate public of Tread Lightly practices will be installed.  Trail markers will help ensure OHV use remains on designated route.
	Publicly Reviewed Plan - Q 5.
5.	Is there a publicly reviewed and adopted plan (e.g., wilderness designation, land management plans, route designation decisions) that supports the need for the Restoration Project? 5
	(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)  No (No points)  Yes (5 points)
	Identify plan
	2001 Ansel Adams, John Muir, Dinkey Lakes Wildernesses
	Primary Funding Source - Q 6.
6.	Primary funding source for future operational costs associated with the Project will be: 3
	(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one from list)  Applicant's operational budget (5 points)  Volunteer support and/or donations (3 points)  Other Grant funding (2 points)  OHV Trust Funds (No points)
	If 'Operational budget' is checked, list reference document(s):

### 7. Public Input - Q 7.

5.

6.

7. The Project was developed with public input employing the following 2

(Check all that apply) Scoring: 1 point each, up to a maximum of 2 points (Please select applicable values)

Publicly noticed meeting(s) with the general public to discuss Project (1 point)

Version # Page: 9 of 11

8.

9.

		<ul><li>✓ Conference call(s) with interested parties (1 poi</li><li>✓ Meeting(s) with stakeholders (1 point)</li></ul>	nt)
		Explain each statement that was checked	
			club representatives to discuss existing and future projects.  Dijects and needs for both the Forest Service and Adopt-A-Trai
8.	ı	Utilization of Partnerships - Q 8.	
	8.	The Project will utilize partnerships to successfully accordanizations that will participate in the Project are	
		(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one	e from list)
		C 4 or more (4 points)	© 2 to 3 (2 points)
		C 1 (1 point)	None (No points)
		List partner organization(s):	
		physical labor. The Four Wheel Drive Club of Fresno	ice volunteers will help with transportation to project sites and o and Hill Hoppers Four Wheel Drive Club have adopted the assist in transporting Forest Service Staff to project sites and
9.	;	Scientific and Cultural Studies - Q 9.	
	9.	Scientific and cultural studies will	
		(Check all that apply) (Please select applicable value)  Determine appropriate Restoration techniques ( Examine potential effects of OHV Recreation or Examine methods to ensure success of Restoration Lead to direct management action (1 point)  Explain each item checked above	(2 points) n natural or cultural resources (2 points)
		<u> </u>	
10.	. (	Underlying Problem - Q 10.	
	10.	<ol> <li>The underlying problem that resulted in the need for addressed and resolved 0</li> </ol>	the Restoration Project has been effectively
		(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one	e from list)
		No (No points)	Yes (3 points)
		Explain 'Yes' answer	
11.	. ;	Size of sensitive habitats - Q 11.	
	11.	Size of sensitive habitats (e.g., wilderness, riparian, be restored 5	wetlands, ACEC) within the Project Area which will
		(Check the one most appropriate) (Please select one	e from list)
		C Less than 1 acre (1 points)	
		No sensitive habitat within Project Area (No noi	nte)

Page: 10 of 11 Version #

Page: 11 of 11

\_\_\_\_\_

Version #